

THE ECC CONSULTATION PROCESS REGARDING DRAFT ECC DECISIONS

The following extract below from the ECC Rules of Procedure describes the Mechanism for ECC Decisions. Articles directly relevant to the Public Consultation process within the ECC are marked with the yellow background.

ARTICLE 10 – DOCUMENTATION

...

10.2 ECC Decisions are measures on significant harmonisation matters. ECC Decisions are approved as prescribed in Article 12.

ARTICLE 12 – MECHANISM FOR ECC DECISIONS

12.1 INTRODUCTION

- 12.1.1 Decisions should be the outcome of any decision making process on matters of significant harmonisation in the electronic communications regulatory field, within the context of the long term ECC strategy and policy. The over-riding policy on Decisions should be to maintain their credibility, to use them only when there is a need for significant harmonisation, and to develop and write them in as flexible a way as possible so as to include as many of the Members administrations as possible in their implementation, whilst maintaining the necessary level of harmonisation. Decisions should neither impose nor discriminate in favour of the use of a particular type of technology, although this does not preclude the taking of proportionate steps to promote certain specific services where this is justified.
- 12.1.2 Decisions that "designate" a frequency band for a harmonised application are intended to foster the deployment of an application to meet a market demand in a harmonised manner throughout CEPT. Members implementing the Decision commit themselves to make spectrum available for this harmonised application which includes assessing when and where there is a demand for the harmonised service/application and deciding whether that demand is great enough to exclude other services and applications from the harmonised band. Such Decisions shall not inhibit radiocommunication equipment meeting different standards from operating in an identified frequency band provided it offers the same spectrum use and application as specified in a Decision for the band and is placed on the market in conformity with the essential requirements i.e. it makes effective use of the spectrum allocated to terrestrial/space radio communications so as to avoid harmful interference.
- 12.1.3 Decisions should include a preferred date of implementation or, when necessary, a definite date of implementation. Where a definitive date of implementation is included, a derogation for countries with particular difficulties may be agreed in the form of a footnote listing these countries and giving an agreed later date of implementation in their case.
- 12.1.4 There are four main elements to the Decision making mechanism: development and approval, commitment and implementation, amendment, and withdrawal.

12.2 DEVELOPMENT AND APPROVAL

- 12.2.1 The Plenary shall decide whether a new item of work should lead to a Decision or other deliverable. There should be a minimum of five Members ¹ supporting a proposal for the development of a draft Decision before the Plenary approve its development. In exceptional cases, Working Groups may begin work on a draft Decision, pending approval by the Plenary at its next meeting.
- 12.2.2 The Plenary may review its approval at any time during the development of the draft Decision, in particular taking account of any difficulties reported to it by the responsible entity.
- 12.2.3 Where more than one entity is involved, before work is started, the Plenary shall appoint the lead entity.
- 12.2.4 The responsible entity developing a draft Decision should seek advice with regard to the regulatory consistency and enforceability of the draft Decision, as described in the Working Methods document.
- 12.2.5 Proposals for draft Decisions shall follow the standard format, and shall include a date for entry into force.
- 12.2.6 A draft Decision agreed by the responsible entity for Public Consultation shall be notified to Members by the Office using e-mail. A copy of the draft shall either be attached to the e-mail or shall be placed within the website documentation area for downloading by members, as advised in the e-mail. Providing no objections to proceed to Public Consultation are received by the Office from any Member within two weeks of this notification, the draft Decision is considered to be approved for Public Consultation to be carried out during a minimum period of two months. If such an objection is received, the draft Decision shall be placed on the agenda of the next Plenary for decision on Public Consultation.
- 12.2.7 In cases where the Plenary approves draft Decisions for Public Consultation this will proceed without the notification referred to in 12.2.6.
- 12.2.8 Proposals for draft Decisions shall be available at least two weeks prior to the Plenary meeting at which they will be considered.
- 12.2.9 The Plenary will only consider proposed draft Decisions which are referenced on the draft agenda for the meeting.
- 12.2.10 The Plenary shall be informed of the names of any Members of the responsible entity which opposed the draft. The reason for opposition should be given.
- 12.2.11 The Plenary shall approve by consensus a draft text for Public Consultation to be carried out during a minimum period of two months. In cases where there is no consensus the voting procedure of Article 12.2.15 shall be applied.
- 12.2.12 The draft Decision will be published on the Office website specifying the deadline for comments, and their recipient.
- 12.2.13 If the schedule of meetings allows, the responsible entity shall consider the results of the Public Consultation and shall present proposals to the Plenary on how to incorporate any adopted new element resulting from it into the draft Decision. If the schedule of meetings

¹ Including the administration initiating the proposal.

does not allow this procedure the Chairman of the responsible entity shall present the results of the Public Consultation directly to the Plenary

12.2.14 The Plenary shall consider the results of the Public Consultation together with the proposals from the responsible entity, or its Chairman, when approving the draft ECC Decision.

12.2.15 The Plenary shall approve draft Decisions where possible by consensus. Exceptionally, where this is not possible and to avoid a weakening of the text the following voting procedure shall be applied:

a vote in accordance with Article 14, except that the votes shall also be weighted in accordance with the contributory share class specified in Article 19 of the CEPT Rules of Procedure. The Decision shall be approved providing a simple majority of votes cast, totalling two thirds or more of the weighted votes, are in favour.

12.2.16 The Decision shall be included as an annex to the Minutes of the meeting at which it was approved and be published on the Office website.

12.3 COMMITMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION

12.3.1 The Chairman shall, immediately following the approval of a Decision, ask how many Members intend to implement the Decision. Members attending the meeting who can not implement the Decision should specify the reasons for such, which will be included in the Minutes of the Meeting

12.3.2 Members having implemented a Decision shall inform the Office about this. The names of the Members that have implemented a Decision will be published on the Office website.

12.3.3 The national measures necessary to implement a Decision shall, if practicable, contain a reference to the Decision. This reference could be made for example as a footnote to the national frequency table.

12.3.4 Members shall communicate to these national measures to the Office.

12.3.5 Members may commit themselves to Decisions at any time. A list of the Members that have implemented the Decisions will be updated regularly by the Office and published on the Office website. This information should be: Yes, implemented; or No, not implemented. However, the first response shall be accompanied with a reference to the national measures implementing the Decision, and the latter response could be qualified by information on any parts of the Decision that have been implemented, or any information provided by Members on their commitment to implement the Decision, in which case the intended date of implementation should be provided.

12.3.6 Members may withdraw their commitment in the case of prevailing legislation and shall inform the ECC Chairman and the Office accordingly.

12.4 REVIEW

- 12.4.1 Each Decision shall be reviewed² on a regular basis, but not later than every five years. The start of the review of a Decision shall be decided by the responsible entity and the review should take account of an initial assessment made by the Office, and any other relevant information. As a consequence of this review the Plenary shall decide whether to maintain, amend or withdraw the Decision.
- 12.4.2 The criteria for reviewing ECC Decisions shall be agreed by the Plenary.

12.5 AMENDMENT³

- 12.5.1 The Plenary should decide whether or not a process to amend a Decision should start.
- 12.5.2 With the exception of amendments in accordance with Article 12.5.3, the development and approval of amended Decisions shall follow the provisions of Article 12.2.
- 12.5.3 Amendments of editorial nature, that is, amendments relating to the correction of editorial errors, such as typographical errors or errors in calculations or any other similar oversight (provided that these corrections do not impact on the original decides of the Decision) would not require Public Consultation.
- 12.5.4 Amendments in accordance with Article 12.5.3 shall be notified to the Plenary.
- 12.5.5 In justified cases, where there would be severe difficulties in implementing the amended Decision, a derogation for Members which have implemented the existing Decision may be incorporated in the amended Decision, in order to allow an extended period for its implementation.
- 12.5.6 On the approval of the amended Decision, the previous Decision is automatically withdrawn.
- 12.5.7 Unless agreed otherwise, the reference number shall remain unchanged, but the new version shall have a reference next to the title, stating "Amended < place, date >".
- 12.5.8 In the case where an error⁴ in an approved Decision is identified the Plenary may provisionally approve a corrigendum for final approval at its following meeting providing there is no objection. Corrected Decisions shall keep their original number followed by the date of this correction.
- 12.5.9 In case an EC Decision is published, which for the EU Member States, Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway, overrides the contents of an ECC Decision, the ECC may decide to:
- either include a statement in its ECC Decision, following the title of the Decision, reading as follows:

² The Decisions withdrawing other Decisions shall not be subject to review.

³ These provisions are also applicable to ERC and ECTRA Decisions

⁴ With the exception of editorial errors which are corrected in accordance with Article 12.5.3.

“Comparable technical specifications to those given in this ECC Decision are given in EC Decision no. {<year>/no./EC}. EU Member States and, if so approved by the EEA Joint Committee, Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway are obliged to implement the EC Decision.”

- or amend its ECC Decision accordingly.

Article 12.5.7 applies.

- 12.5.10 In case an ECC Decision makes additional provisions to an existing ECC Decision which has a direct correspondence with EC Decisions, the following statement shall be included after the title of such an ECC Decision:

“For EU Member States, Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway, this Decision is without prejudice to provisions in EC Decision {<year>/no/EC} containing comparable technical specifications to those given in ECC Decision {ECC/DEC/(xx)YY} referenced in this Decision. EU Member States and, if so approved by the EEA Joint Committee, Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway are obliged to implement the EC Decision.”

Article 12.5.7 applies.

12.6 WITHDRAWAL⁵

- 12.6.1 For withdrawal of a Decision the procedures given in either 12.6.2 or 12.6.3 shall be followed.
- 12.6.2 If a Decision is to be withdrawn because it is outdated and not superseded by a new Decision, a new Decision withdrawing the said Decision shall be developed in accordance with Article 12.2. Such withdrawing Decision may withdraw one or more approved Decisions and shall follow the format specified in the Working Methods document.
- 12.6.3 If the Decision is to be withdrawn because it is superseded by a new Decision, withdrawal shall be reflected as one of the *Decides* of the new Decision.
- 12.6.4 A withdrawn Decision shall be kept on the list of deliverables on the ERO website with the following note: “ECC/DEC(XX)YY – Please note that this Decision has been withdrawn in accordance with ECC/DEC(AB)CD”, where (AB)CD refers to the reference number of the new Decision that withdrew or superseded the old Decision.

⁵ These provisions are also applicable to ERC and ECTRA Decisions